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Retrospective view on fungal and bacterial profile in the suspected cases of keratitis
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To study the microbial profile in the cases of keratitis.

Materials and Methods: Retrospective cross-sectional study conducted during the period of January 2018 to January 2019. Corneal scrapping from suspected case of keratitis were subjected for microscopy and culture. Growth was examined for wet mount, colony morphology from culture tubes and plates, susceptibility of gram negative and gram-positive organism was done according to standard protocol (CLSI 2018).

Result: In our study total of 239 samples, 17(7.1%) samples were only Microscopy positive, 69 (28.8%) samples were bacterial culture positive and 43 (17.9%) were fungal culture positive. Organisms isolated from bacterial culture were Staph, Pseudo, E. coli, Proteus, Enterobacter and Klebsiella species. Aspergillus, Fusarium, Microsporum, Curvalaria & Candida spp were isolated from fungal culture. No mixed growth was observed in our study.

Conclusion: In our study we found about 28.8% of cases were positive for bacterial growth. Clinicians usually neglect bacterial cause of keratitis, so a good microbiological investigation play major role in management of corneal infection.
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1. Introduction

Infectious keratitis is a major cause for long-term visual impairment and termed as “Blinding disease” of epidemic proportions.1 Keratitis is an ophthalmic emergency requiring anti-microbial treatment to prevent permanent vision loss.2

According to the WHO (world health organization) six million corneal ulcers occur annually in Southeast Asia Region, these estimates are based on the data collected from countries where the incidence of corneal ulceration ranged from a low of 113/100,000 in India to high as 799/100,000 in Nepal.3

The normal cornea has a unique characteristic of transparency. Condition like infectious keratitis, pathogen growth in the cornea leading to focal mass cloudiness and cornea roughness, inevitably bringing out the unique characteristics of each pathogenic microorganism for its growth in the tissue.4

Microorganisms like bacteria, viruses, protozoa and fungi can cause keratitis leading to corneal ulcers.5 The etiological patterns of corneal ulceration have been found to vary with geographic location, climate, the patient population and health of the cornea.6

Trauma by vegetative or soil matter seems to be the predominant risk factor. Injudicious use of tropical corticosteroids and other antibacterial agents for external ocular disease and contact lens use further enhances the risk.7

Common cause of keratitis are Aspergillus and Fusarium species accounts for over 70%. Fungal keratitis presents with corneal ulcer having stromal infiltrate, dry texture & satellite lesions.5 Bacterial keratitis presents with an
epithelial defect along with stromal oedema and corneal infiltrate. Empiric therapy for bacterial cause is treated with ciprofloxacin 0.3% or ofloxacin 0.3% or fortified cephalothin 5% plus gentamicin 0.9% and fungal cause are treated with Natamycin 5% as first line and Amphotericin-B 0.3-0.5% as an alternative topical therapy. Recent study reveals the drug resistant organisms causing keratitis, so correct identification of the cause totally changes the method of treatment plan. Present Study was taken up with an interest to know the prevalence of keratitis caused by fungus in an around Mandya district as well to study bacterial pattern.

2. Aim

1. To determine the fungal & bacterial growth pattern in keratitis.
2. To determine the most common species isolated from culture.

3. Materials and Methods

It is retrospective cross-sectional study conducted for a period of one year from Jan 2018-Jan 2019, Corneal scrapping from cases of keratitis sent to Microbiology department in the central laboratory were subjected to Microscopy and culture.

3.1. Microscopic

Wet mount and Grams stain. Two drops of 10% KOH were added to the samples examined under low power for fungal elements, Gram’s stain was done to check for fungal elements, gram-positive and gram-negative bacilli.

Few corneal scrapping showed fungal elements in wet mount preparation. Gram stain showed inflammatory cells, few fungal elements, gram positive cocci & gram-negative bacilli in majority of samples.

All the samples were further subjected to culture.

3.2. Culture

The samples were inoculated onto Chocolate agar, Blood agar, and Sabouraud’s dextrose agar (SDA). Bacterial culture plates were incubated at 37°C. Fungal tubes were incubated at 30°C. Bacterial culture plates were observed for growth at 24 hrs, 48 hrs, and till the 7th day and for fungal tubes up to 14 days.

According to CLSI guidelines, growth observed on bacterial culture plates were further subjected to biochemical reactions like Indole, Citrate, Urease, TSI, MR-VP, sugar fermentation and mannitol motility for identification and Mueller Hinton agar was used for susceptibility of gram positive and gram-negative organisms except for Streptococcus species, we used blood agar.

Fungal tube showing growth were observed for macroscopic growth on SDA tubes. Fungal growth was teased, Lacto-phenol cotton blue preparation was added to observe under low power and high power for identify & speciation.

4. Results

In our study total of 239 samples, 17 samples were Microscopy positive, 69 (28.8%) samples were bacterial culture positive and 43 (17.9%) were fungal culture positive. Out of 69 bacterial samples, Staphylococcus spp isolated were 21(30.4%), Streptococcus spp were 9 (13%), Pseudomonas were 12 (17.3%), Klebsiella spp were 11 (15.9%), E. coli were 8 (11.6%), Proteus were 5(7.2%) and Enterobacter spp 3(4.3%) as shown in Table 1. Out of 43 fungal samples, Aspergillus spp were 16 (37.2%), Fusarium were 12 (27.9%), Microsporidium were 6(13.9%), Curvalaria 5(11.6%), Candida 4 (9.3%) as shown in Table 2. No mixed culture growth samples were observed in our study. Figures 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 shows LPCB mount of fungal culture.

Table 1: List of bacteria’s isolated from samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Staphylococcus</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Klebsiella</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Streptococcus</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proteus</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Enterobacter</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: List of fungus isolated from samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aspergillus</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fusarium</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Microsporidium</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Curvalaria</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Candida</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

A variety of factors determine outcome in the infectious keratitis and the epidemiological patterns vary from country to country, as well geographical areas in same state. To develop an appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic strategies, a comprehensive data is must Hence regular regional updates become important for the clinicians in framing the treatment protocols. In our study out of 239 samples, 28.8% of keratitis were of bacterial origin and 17.8% of fungal. Prevalence of bacterial cause remain to be high over fungal with different geographic area observed in the study conducted by Ng AL et al. showing around 25.6%, 68.9%
Among bacteria’s Staphylococcus was major gram-positive organism isolated followed by Streptococcus, which was the common pattern of growth seen all over India as well in our study.

In our study we found 47.7% of organism were gram positive cocci, study conducted by Gopinathan U et al., Manisha Acharya et al. & P A Sedhu et al. were observed 63.5%, 75.2% & 14% respectively.

In our study we isolated 37.2% of Aspergillus spp and 29.7% of fusarium. In the study conducted by Gopinathan U et al. shows 28.9% of Aspergillus spp & 36.6% of Fusarium. Study conducted by Manisha Acharya et al. shows 30% of Aspergillus and 37.1% Fusarium. Study conducted by Bimoch P et al. shows 43.8% of Aspergillus spp & 25% of Fusarium.

In the present study majority of the Fungus isolated were like Aspergillus and Fusarium, it was observed that a study
conducted by Lin CC et al showed prevalence of Aspergillus and Fusarium were common among southern region of India due to hot and windy climate.18

6. Conclusion
Meticulous microbiological workup and analysis is essential in managing infectious keratitis, if prompt and precise treatment is not given to the patients, there is more chance for the disease to progress towards corneal damage or may develop sight-threatening condition.
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